

PRINCIPLES OF PARTNERSHIP CLUSTER REVIEW:

ARE YOU FROM: NNGO INGO UN Agency
 Government Donor

EQUALITY	Not at all	Somewhat	Substantially
Are meetings are open to all partners ('open door policy')			
Are you able to influence which items are discussed at the Cluster meeting?			
Are you able to influence decisions that are taken by the Cluster?			
Are you treated as an equal member of the Cluster			
Do you feel comfortable raising a different or alternative view to others during Cluster meetings?			
What could the Cluster do differently to improve equality of all members?			

COMPLEMENTARITY	Not at all	Somewhat	Substantially
Are different members' mandates and capacities taken into account when the Cluster is developing strategies or deciding how to respond to coverage gaps?			
Do local and international actors have different roles in the HRP?			
Are local NGO members able to contribute own perspectives, experience and capacities to the Cluster's work as much as international UN/NGO members?			
Is there strong collaboration between the Cluster and other Clusters (CCCM, WASH etc) where relevant, to ensure that the Cluster approach is complementary to what others are doing?			
What could the Cluster do differently to maximise the benefits of the diversity?			

TRANSPARENCY	Not at all	Somewhat	Substantially
Does the Cluster regularly share relevant information with all members?			
Do all members regularly share relevant information with the Cluster?			
Does the Cluster tell all members what resources it has available for the Cluster itself (e.g funding for staff, meetings, training etc) and how the Cluster is spending it?			
Does the Cluster create a safe environment for members to speak openly about their mistakes?			
Do all members share relevant information with the Cluster about their own funding situation?			
What else could the Cluster do differently to improve transparency?			

RESULTS ORIENTED	Not at all	Somewhat	Substantially
Does the Cluster have a strategy and work plan, which was developed jointly with all members?			
Is the Cluster Work plan and strategy regularly reviewed with all members; and updated when necessary to reflect new priority areas?			
Does the Cluster regularly provide updates on progress and facilitate discussions about how to address gaps?			
Does the Cluster discuss programme quality and encourage members to meet these standards?			
What could the Cluster do differently to improve its results?			

RESPONSIBILITY	Not at all	Somewhat	Substantially
Are members able to meet their commitments to the Cluster Workplan and Strategy			
Does the Cluster support members that are not delivering and try find alternative responses/solutions when necessary?			
Does the Cluster encourage and promote local partners to scale up, where possible?			
Does the Cluster monitor and encourage partnerships that allow actors to scale up responsibly (e.g. not too fast, with appropriate support)			
Does the Cluster encourage all agencies to have a code of conduct to prevent abuse and other human rights violations			
Does the Cluster ever discuss and promote the Principles of Partnership?			
What else could the Cluster do to make sure all members are taking their accountabilities seriously?			

--	--